G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906.-1907

(Muzrai)

File No. 204

Serial Nos. 1-12

Papers Filed.

Month January 1914

SUBJECT.

Directs the payment from Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mutt Funds, of a sum of Rs 1 8 0 0/- to Bigamudare Narasachar, former lessee of Salegingal Village (Inam) in Sheerthahalli Taluk endowed to the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mutt at Sosale, in full satisfaction of his claims against ~~the~~ Mutt The

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
~~Mem~~ Muzr. 166 of 1903	Muzr. 259 of 1908
	Muzr 48 of 1909.

G.R.S. 11] 1906-7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscl.)

NOTES.

File No. 204-06

FILE HEADING.

Petition from Bigamudre marizachars of Tirthahally praying for the re-payt of Rs 1500/ being the security amount deposited by him as the lessee of some lands in Khandya Village, Tirthahally Tq/ endorsed to Sri Vasavaza mall

From

Bigamudre marizachars

No. Petition Dated 15-6 1907 Received

Diary No. 5670	Enclos. / Document	Spare Copies —	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that as the lessee of the above lands, he had lodged with the late Diwany of Vasavaza mall Rs 1500/ and prays that the amount may be refunded to him as these lands have since been otherwise disposed of by Govt

NOTES AND ORDERS.

SC 2 From, Bigamudre marizachars

Reminder Petition Dy 31.3.1910.

Prays for early orders in the matter

U. Secretary's order:—

Say in reply to his reminder

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

Serial No.

that this first petition and documents have been received and the matter will be considered"

Submtd with a Dft

Issue
SJ
3/6/1910

3.6.10
RCDu
3/6

End^t No. 2903 Dy 3-6-10 Replies that

3 his case will be disposed of in due course.

Please put up draft & the matt calling for the Tasildars report in the matter.

RG
8/7/10

Not necessary.
SJ
12/7/1910

Submtd, with a Dft

RG
12/7/10

The Pet^r has been informed that the matter will be considered in due course. Orders of Collr=

RG
13/7/10

Bring up when the party appears and keep in suspense. Inform the Tahsildar of Mayuram to appear with all his papers when summoned.

SJ
13/7/1910

14.7.10

From, Bigamudre Mariyachary,
Rem^r. Petition Dy 26-5-1913

Prays for early orders in the case.

In Govt Rto No 2715-4 Dy 21st nov^r 1907 Govt passed orders in the matter of leasing out the Inam Villages of Salejingal and Mundavadi in the Tirthahalli Dy endowed

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 204 of 1906

Serial No.

to Sri Vyasarayaswamy matt - In Para 4 of the order, it is stated that "He (petr Mariyachan) should at the same time be informed that the question of the repayment to him of the alleged security amount from the matt's funds is quite a different matter unconnected with the question of the lease and that it will be separately dealt with on the examination of the documents that may be produced by him in support of his claim."

Submitted for orders. The Sct. Whose saw BVL 13/6 Mr. Subbramaniya Iyer at mysore 26.6.13 him asked to see him again with papers. He is here, and if approd. he may be called upon to wait upon the undersd. tomorrow by with all the papers in the matter of this deposit and the matter decided.

BL 26/6/13

The file relating to the order of 21st Nov. 1906 should have been put up. Even now this should be done.

4.6.'13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 204 of 1906.

4

Serial No.

Minz. 2. no. 166 of 1903 traced out from the old minz. Sectt records, is herewith submitted.

Submitted with a Off. memo to the Govt Agent
JVC 132
10.6.13

Approved
Ag
10/6/13

To The Govt. Agent of Sri Vijayaraya Swami Math.
(Through the Mun Supdt)

No 2386 dy 10.6.1913

Forwards the petn of Mariyachan for a careful enquiry & very early report

From, The Govt Agent of Sri V Swamy Math.
(Through the Minz. Supdt)

Report no. 191 Dy 11-6-1913.

States that the petr has actually advanced the money to the Math, and adds that the petr's claim may be favorably considered by Govt.

The Govt Agent's report clearly explains the facts of the case.

Submitted
JVC 132
23-6-1913

atn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 204 of 1906

Serial No.

Dorl. B.

the debt of Venkatakrishnaiah and Rs 500 again as further advance to the Swami and the village was, then leased to him for a period of 10 years. Again in 1900 a further sum of Rs 570 was retained as an advance and a document executed for the whole sum of Rs 1570, allowing the lease of the village for a further period of 8 years and permitting the lessee to pay himself the interest due upon the loans from the lease amount due.

There is thus very little doubt that the moneys were so advanced, and this is further confirmed by the recitals in Document C, & D, whereby the village itself was leased. The moneys were nominally treated as Security but this is apparently a misnomer and in reality they were loans, viz a money secured upon the lands.

Dorl. C & D.

As regards the legality of the documents I am somewhat doubtful. The document D is in the form of a Kaifiyat which appears to be a long standing method of dealing which the Mutts have in their business relations, particularly with their Ryots

Dorl. C & Dupont. The lease deed but is unattested and unregistered and may therefore be left out of consideration

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-8-12.

G R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 204 of 1906

Serial No.

Though strictly not enforceable in a Court of law ~~as lease deeds~~, yet they may not be inadmissible as evidence of a part relationship.

Coming now to Docts A & B, there is no doubt that the primary intention of the parties was that they should be treated as lease deeds. They have accordingly not been registered. Considering however the nature of recitals, they are capable of being treated as lease deeds or as mortgages and if so the docts would be inoperative being unregistered.

Seeing that these docts were executed by Vaideeka standing in the relation of fiduciary to his wife, the ~~parties~~ legal rights often former having since been assumed by Govt as trustees, it seems to me that it would be inexpedient to be too technical in recognising the claims of Maniacker.

The question of limitation also need not be pressed either as regards the principal or the interest as Maniacker has been pressing Govt for the settlement of his claims since 1907. It must however be said that both general as well as

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 204 of 1906

Serial No.

~~Large~~ long delay is due to the negligence of Mariadhar himself in not presenting in time all the materials for the disposal of the case. It appears to me that not quite equitable to the Mukt to award the large amount claimed as interest.

The interest which ~~accrued~~ accrued prior to 1908 stands however on a different footing. Under Dot. B Mariadhar was entitled to keep back the ~~interest~~ interest and payments. This he appears to have done but in subsequent proceedings after audit of the accounts he seems to have paid the interest amounts to kept back for the preceding three years. This amount of Rs 360 we have to be refunded to him.

vide Dot. 2.

Thus the moneys payable to Mariadhar are as follows

The principal due on Dot. B. Rs 1500-0-0

Interest on the above sum for three years refunded by him as per Dot. 2. 360-0-0

Rs 1860-0-0

Mariadhar is hereby present and is agreeable to this settlement.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-5-12

S. 121

2221 9

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 2014 of 1916

Serial No.

This would mean that no interest has been allowed upon this amount for the last 7 years

Sd
24.6.13

Before deciding the case, I should like to know if the Mathas has anything to say about the proposed arrangement settlement.

27/6/13

Sd
28/6

Write a Vij. Sal. to the Swami and ascertain

Sd
2/7/6.

Submitted with a Spt. V. Patrika

B1-(132)
1.7.13

Sd

Sd
2/7/13

Do The Swamigalu of Sri Visvesvaraya Mutt

No 29 dated 7.1913

Calling for his opinion in the matter of Proposed Settlement -

NOTE—Contn. on

GPB—65,000-

[F. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 204 of 1916

Serial No.

Draft Rent to the Swamigalu is submitted for approval.

From, the Swamigalu
Srimukha no 91.

States that it is a fact that the previous Swamies executed the documents in favour of Mariyachar, and that he is agreeable to the proposed settlement to pay to the petitioners a Total sum of Rs 1800/- (~~Rs~~ 1500 being the principal amount and Rs 300/- being the interest for 3 years).

Submitted for orders Both Mariyachar BV(115) 26-11-1913 & the Swamigalu are agreeable & this settlement is adjusting the loan by paying 1800 Rs to Mariyachar from the math funds.

28/11/13

Submitted to Hon'ble Councillor
Ln
4 12 13

Sanctioned

6/12/13

Hon'ble
Ln
8/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

12j

11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 204 of 1914.

Serial No.

Submitted with 2 dfts
NC 118
22-12-1913. arm
27.12.13

Submitted with fair dfts as called for on the draft.
arm
7.1.14

Subd Sr Councillor
Ka
8.1.14

Draft appd: with certain alterations by the ~~Second~~ First Councillor
14.1.14
MJS

In
15
Ka
16/1

~~No 1873-5 dy~~

To The Dy Commr Shimoga Dt.
Comptroller Mly.
Mysore Superintendent.

No 1873-5 dy 26.1.14 Sanctions the payment of the amount to Bigamudre Manjayachar.

No 1876 dy 26-1-14 Copy to Swamirao with Vighapana Patrika.

15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

READ—

1. Government Proceedings No. 2413-23, Muz. F. 57-1892, dated the 30th May 1903, directing that the management of the Inam lands and villages attached to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt at Sosale in the T.-Narsipur Taluk, situated in the Mysore Territories be taken over under Government management with effect from the 1st July 1903.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 955-75, dated the 25th April 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, submitting a report regarding the Inam villages of Salejingal Dakhale and Mundavalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

Srimukha No. 13—5325, dated Jyeshta Suddha Dashami of the year Parabhava (June 1906)

No. 2715-7—MUZ. F. 57-1892, DATED BANGALORE, 21ST NOVEMBER 1906.

ORDER THEREON.—From the records received from the Deputy Commissioner it appears that the villages of Salejingal and Mundavalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk are personal Inams, comprising Devadaya and Brahmadaya Inam lands which are however not shown separately in the accounts. Salejingal belongs to 21 private vrittidars as well as to Sri Gopalakrishnaswami in the Sosale Vyasarayaswami Matt at Tirthahalli. It consists of 204 acres 10 guntas bearing an assessment of Rs. 476-8-0 with a Jodi of Rs. 212. Mundavahalli is divided into 15 vrittis. of which 9 are Brahmadaya, 1 belongs to the Sri Aswatha Narayanaswami temple at Mahishi and 5 belong to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt in the Tirthahalli Taluk. It consists of 208 acres 37 guntas bearing an assessment of Rs. 558-8-0 with a Jodi of Rs. 266. The two villages are reported to be in the management of one Bigamudre Mariachar of Tirthahalli and Sripati Gopalachar of Mahishi, respectively.

2. Prior to the Matt's share of the villages being ordered to be taken under the management in the Muzrai Department, the holders of the villages, *viz.*, Mariachar and Gopalachar, who are also the individual vrittidars, used to pay the Matt an annual gutta of Rs. 45 each after meeting a certain expenditure in the Sri Aswatha Narayanaswami temple at Mahishi and in the Sri Vyasarayaswami Branch Matts at Mahishi and Tirthahalli. They want the temple and the Matt lands to be continued to them on the same condition on the score of their long enjoyment. An additional ground urged by the holder of the Matt's land in the Salejingal village is that he has paid a sum of Rs. 1,500 to the Swamigalu as a security amount for the due fulfilment of the terms of the lease on the condition of the interest thereon, *viz.*, Rs. 120 per annum being deducted from the realizations of the Matt's portion of the Inam lands.

3. As regards Mariachar's contention in the matter of the Matt's lands in the Salejingal village, it appears from the records that they were leased out to him from time to time by the previous Swamies for certain fixed periods and that the last lease bond executed in his favour by the late Swamigalu is dated the 19th September 1900. This document authorizes him to hold the Matt's portion of the village for a period of only five years from the year *Sherwani*, which period expired at the end of *Krodhi*. Considering that the annual yield from the Matt's land is Rs. 405-1-9 according to the lessee's own statement and that the list of expenditure produced by him includes some unnecessary items, the Government are not inclined to continue the lease to him any longer on the terms entered into between him and the Swamigalu. If he is willing to retain the lands on the condition of his paying an annual gutta of Rs. 405 in cash (irrespective of the income therefrom) with effect from the current official year (1906-07) he may do so for a period of ten years by executing a stamped mutchalika to that effect with the distinct understanding however that no portion of the lease amount will be liable to be deducted towards interest on the security amount alleged to have been paid by him to the Swamigalu. If these terms are not acceptable to him, the lands should be resumed from him and leased out to others for a limited period by public auction.

4. He should at the same time be informed that the question of the repayment to him of the alleged security amount from the Matt's funds is quite a different matter unconnected with the question of the lease and that it will be separately dealt with on the examination of the documents that may be produced by him in support of his claim.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

5. On receipt of a report from the Deputy Commissioner regarding the lease of the lands from the current official year, a scale of expenditure to be incurred in the Matt of Tirthahalli will be sanctioned by Government consistently with the income from the lands. The lessee whoever he may be should be distinctly made to understand that he need not concern himself with the expenditure in the Matt for which a separate provision will be made by Government.

6. As regards the arrears of the lease amount due to the Matt since the lands came under Government management from 1903-04 it is presumed that the lessee Mahadeva incurred some expenditure in the Matt in addition to the payment of the pooja, etc., payable to the Revenue Department. The Government therefore consider it sufficient if the usual lease amount hitherto paid by him, *viz*., Rs. 15 per annum plus the sum of Rs. 120 per annum deducted by him towards interest on the alleged security amount, be recovered from him for the three years from 1903-04 *to* 1905-06. This amount should accordingly be collected from him at once and the fact reported to the Muzrai Secretariat for information.

7. As regards the Mundavalli village, two-thirds of which is said to be Brahmadeya and the remaining one-third Devadaya belonging to the Matt, it appears that the annual income from the Matt portion of the land is 151 kandaes of paddy and Rs. 22-2-4 in cash or in the aggregate sum of Rs. 226-2-4. Deducting therefrom the proportionate amount of kandayam due to the Revenue Department, *viz*., Rs. 111-4-5, it seems that the net income of Rs. 114-13-11 is still left in favor of the Matt. Sripati Gopalachar of Mahishi, who is reported to be the Vahivatdar of the entire village, is said to be also the archak of Sri Gopalakrishnaswami consecrated in the Sri Vasudevasvami Branch Matt at Mahishi. From the records it appears that he and his ancestors have been in the enjoyment of the Matt's portion of the village for a long time on the condition of performing puja and other services in the Matt, bearing also the requisite expenditure therefor and paying in addition a sum of Rs. 15 per annum to the Matt and the pooja etc., to the Revenue Department. The amount to be expended annually in the Matt according to his own list is Rs. 128 in addition to the alleged daily feeding of the Brahmin travellers resorting to the Matt. The lessee wants the lands to be continued to him as heretofore.

8. In consideration of the fact that the Matt lands are being enjoyed by Sripati Gopalachar as service Inam, the Government are pleased to direct that his possession need not be disturbed and that the lands be continued to him on the conditions (1) of his paying to Government in the Muzrai Department on behalf of the Matt a sum of Rs. 15 annually as heretofore in addition to pooja, etc., payable to the Revenue Department; (2) of his incurring the prescribed expenditure for services, etc., in the Matt as per scale noted in the margin, amounting to Rs. 104 per annum; (3) of his feeding the Brahmin travellers daily who may resort to the Matt, and (4) of his maintaining the Matt building in a state of repair. A mutchalika should be obtained from him enumerating the above conditions and also binding him that he would produce the accounts of expenditure to the Sadr every month.

[illegible]	Rs. 72
[illegible] Purva Dvara [illegible]	Rs. 12
[illegible] Madhva Dvara [illegible]	Rs. 12
[illegible]	Rs. 8
Total	Rs. 104

9. As regards the vritti in the Mundavalli village belonging to the Sri Aswatha Narayanaswami temple at Mahishi, which is also enjoyed by Sripati Gopalachar, it is not clear from the records what the income from it is and what particular service is rendered in the temple by the enjoyer and at what cost and whether the stipulated service is properly performed. A detailed report should be submitted on these points.

10. From the vernacular records it is observed that in addition to the lands in the Silepingal and Mundavalli villages as particularized in the foregoing paras, there are two numbers belonging to the Matt in the Kyadigere village consisting of 1 acres and 25 guntas on the whole with an assessment of Rs. 10-8-0 and a jodi of Rs. 2-1-0. These lands are also said to be in the enjoyment of Sripati Gopalachar. Except the holder's statement before the Amildar to the effect that he has been paying a kandayam of 6 annas on the lands and getting an annual yield of nine kandies

Muzrai, dated 21st November 1906.

therefrom and utilizing it for service rendered by him in the Matt at Mahishi, there is nothing in the records to show what the actual income from the lands is, for what particular service it is used, whether the service is properly rendered and under what authority he has been enjoying the lands. Enquiry should be made on these points and a report submitted to Government within one month from the date of this Order.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, returning the enclosures.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Exd.—C. V.

1906-07

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st November 1906

No. 2715-7
Muz. F. 57-1892

Passing orders in the matter of leasing out the Inam villages of Salejingal and Mundavalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk, endowed to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt at Sosale, T.-Narsipur Taluk.

GPB—14-12-06—200

07

Sl 1

1-90 ?? 2011 06-1

Discussion by minister in person

1576/07

D 1818 Sl 2

2014-06-2

Ref to MR

Please shut up u fec.
MR







Sl 3

Advocate for petitioner

W.P.No. 6-10

Petitioner

3-6-10

Affidavit filed

Heard Mr. [illegible] learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. [illegible] learned counsel for the respondents.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Draft first appraisal

శ్రీ [illegible] గారు

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

తేదీ:

స్థలం:

By order

17/7/10 Secretary.

DIARY No..... 2

10/2-1913

Sl 4

Recd. 26.5.13

No 2386 — Ex 4 D 10/6/1913 Memo

Dmis 204-06

Through the Municipal in Mysore —

SLS

The claim of Biganahalli Narigachar of Sirthahalli for the repayment to him of a sum of Rs 1500 being the alleged security amount said to have been advanced to the Sri Vyasaraja Swamy Matt on lease deeds executed from time to time for the regular pays of the lease amount pertaining to the inam village of Salejingal in the Sirthahalli Tq. endowed to Sri Vyasaraja Swamy Matt, is refd to the Supt Agent of Sri Vyasaraja Swamy Matt for a careful enquiry and a very early report.

2) The petition of together with the orgl

of 1900 are herewith
forwarded for ref and
return. Bijamundra Mancaka who is present in
has been informed
on the records with him
for the inspection of the
B.O
leg
10/6/13
Dbh
For Secretary. Govt. Agent.
RLG
10/6/13

Office of the Mysore Government Agent
Sri Vyasaraya Swami Math.

Dated Bangalore city
11th June 1913

DIARY No. 2231
12/2-1913
SECTION
No.

Note early.

No 191

Encls 4

12-6-13.
Ler
17.6.

From

The Mysore Government Agent
Sri Vyasaraya Swami Math.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of
Mysore General and Revenue
Department. (Muzrai Branch)
(Through the Muzrai Superintendent
in Mysore, Bangalore)

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your memo No Muzrai F 2386/204-06. dated 10th June 1913 forwarding for enquiry and report 3 petitions and an original deed of 1900 submitted by Bigamudri Doriachar of Tirthahally, Shemoga dist, praying for a refund of a loan of Rs 1500 paid by him to the Sri Vyasaraya Swami Math. I respectfully beg to submit the following report having heard the petitioner and examined the material papers thereon tone by him

hereunto re-submitted

lease of the Mudli's portion of Saleging village had run in his family from time immemorial till 26th February 1907 on which date the lease was taken by one Dugganna in an auction sale of the lease right conducted by the Amildar of Tirthahalli in pursuance to instructions contained in para 3 of Government order No 2715-7 Muzrai 57-1892 Dated 21st November 1906 on the refusal of the Petitioner to continue as lessee of the property on the conditions set forth in the same para of the order

A Sum of Rs 1500 taken from the Petitioner as security deposit for the due fulfilment of the conditions of lease has been very rightly separated from the lease question as the advance of this large Sum as security for the due payment of an annual rental of Rs 165 bears no reasonable proportion to one another It is a misnomer to call an advance of money a Security deposit. The advance may be regarded as a Simple Loan and the lease of the property held by the Petitioner is really the security for the punctual

recovery of Interest of the loan from the rent reserved for the Math.

4. Till the year Vikrama the property appears to have been in the uninterrupted possession of the Petitioners family above to the time of the Petitioner as lessee in Succession and at the time the property came to the possession of the Petitioner the annual rent was Rs 120 without the payment of any premium to the Math. The Math authorities seem to have demanded the Petitioner to pay an enhanced rent of Rs 160 per year which the Petitioner did not consent to pay. He seems to have also refused to advance a sum of Rs 500 which the Math wished to get from the Petitioner Thereupon the then Mathadhipatti Srimad Vidya Sri Sridhara Theertha Swamigal came obtained the required advance of Rs 500 at 6 per cent per annum from one Kodur Venkata Krishnachar (Vide copy of Mamp deed of Jeka dasi of Ashadha Bahula of the same year ie 20th July 1880) and transferred the lease of the property to him for a period of

A

of 5 years from the year Vishu to Parthiva
at an annual rental of Rs 160 per year
In spite of this transfer the Petitioners
possession of the property was not
disturbed. He managed it as usual
under a private treaty with the
transferee through whom he remitted
the rent reserved to the Math

5th On Suddha Punchimi in
Pasha of the year Vaya the Petitioner
refunded Rs 500 to Kodeer Venkata
Krishnachar on behalf of the Math
(vide endorsement of payment on A)
and obtained again the lease of the
property in his own name on 30th
January 1886 from Srimad Vidya
Sridhara Teertha Swamigalavaru
by meeting a further demand for a
loan of Rs 500 A fresh stamped
document for 5 years was executed
for Rs 1000 at 9 per cent interest
per annum (vide copy B).

6 Again on 18th October 1890
Srimad Vidya Sri Srinivasa Teertha
Swamigalavaru drew from the
Petitioner a further advance of
Rs 500 extending the lease for a
further

further period of 10 years. This time the interest was reduced from 9 to 8 per cent on the aggregate loan of Rs 1500 for which a Stamped document was executed (vide copy C).

7. owing to the termination of the period mentioned in the document C. Srimad Vidya Sri Sammendra Teertha Swamigalavaru received the bond for Rs 1500 on 19th September 1900 for a further period of 5 years from Sarvari (vide document flayed D.) Under this document the Petitioner continued to be in possession of the property until the end of the year Viswavasu (1906-07) but the interest he had retained for 3 years subsequent to the assumption of the management of the Math properties by the Government viz: from Seobhakrutee (1903-04) to Viswavasu (1905-06.) was made to be refunded by the Amildar of Teerthahalli and accordingly Rs 360 was remitted by the Petitioner to the Taluk Treasury (vide Copy of Treasury Receipt E)

8 The Petitioner has
for the first time, a claim petition
dated 11th June '07 praying the Govern-
-ment for a refund of the loan paid
to the Mahl with interest which is within
the 2 years after the expiry of the
term for which the loan was made.
again the prayer was repeated on
28th March 1910 and on 6th May 1913.

9. It will be seen from the fore-
going facts that Srimad Vidya Sri
Sindhu Teerthi Swamigalavaru
incurred the debt of Rs 500 which
was paid in cash by Kodur Ven-
-kata Krishnachar and which was
afterwards taken over by the Petitioner.
The two Succeeding Maladhipathies
added each Rs 500 to the loan till
the debt came up to Rs 1500 There
is hardly any doubt as to the
Petitioner having paid to the Mahl
every pie of the loan. Even the
numbers of the currency notes delivered
to the Maladhipathe in payment of
loan demanded find a place in the
Devi P. Advances having been
drawn

drawn from time to time under the garb of security deposit. none of the loan documents explain the purpose for which the several Mudadhipathis were compelled to incur the debt. The explanation is not however ~~for~~ for to seek. Each Mudadhi-pathi having succeeded to the Mutts chronic state of indebtedness. it may not be unlikely to suppose that the bonâfide purpose of the loan to be to meet the daily wants of the Mutt.

10. The claim of the petitioner against the Mutt up to end of June 1913 is as follows:—

(a)	Principal as per document dated 19th September 1900	Rs 1500—0—0
(b)	Interest at 8 per cent per annum for 10 years from Sobhakrithu (1903-04) to Parideavi (1912-13). at Rs 120 per year—	Rs 1200—0—0.
	Total Rs —	2700—0—0.

11. The petitioner has not chosen to

Mukh of his own accord with a distinct object of putting out his idle money to fetch a profit Indeed he firmly declined to advance any money to the Mukh even foregoing the lease right enjoyed by his family over a period of 20 years But when he found that others made the advance to retain the lease he followed suit thinking that the lease could never be got without paying an advance to the Mukh

12 The Petitioner is known in the Mukh and in the Shimoga District as one who at his own cost has successfully fought out litigations to maintain the dignity and interest of the Mukh at Sorabhalli and as such he is deserving of the favor of a favorable consideration of his claim at the hands of Government in this particular case.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient
Servant
Subbaraman Chetlur
Mysore Government Agent
Sri Vyasaraya Swami
Mukh

Insurance Co. Ltd.

ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಸಹಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ
ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿವರಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಪಾಲಿಸಿಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : ಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಅವಧಿ : ಇಂದಿನಿಂದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ

ಪಾಲಿಸಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ : ಶ್ರೀ/ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ/ಮೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ

ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಯ ವಿವರ : ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ., ಗ್ರಾಮ, ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು, ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ

ವಿಮಾ ಮೊತ್ತ : ರೂ.

ಪ್ರೀಮಿಯಂ ಮೊತ್ತ : ರೂ.

ಪಾಲಿಸಿಯ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು

1. ಈ ಪಾಲಿಸಿಯು ಕೇವಲ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಲಾದ ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

2. ಪಾಲಿಸಿದಾರರು ಪ್ರೀಮಿಯಂ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿತ ದಿನಾಂಕದೊಳಗೆ ಪಾವತಿಸಬೇಕು.

3. ಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕತ್ವ ಬದಲಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಪನಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

4. ಈ ಪಾಲಿಸಿಯು ಭೂಕಂಪ, ಪ್ರವಾಹ, ಬೆಂಕಿ ಅಪಘಾತ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ನೈಸರ್ಗಿಕ ವಿಕೋಪಗಳಿಂದ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ನಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡುತ್ತದೆ.

5. ಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕ್ಲೇಮು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷದ ಪ್ರೀಮಿಯಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇ. ೧೦ ರಷ್ಟು ರಿಯಾಯಿತಿ ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

6. ಕ್ಲೇಮು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವಾಗ ಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರತಿ, ಪ್ರೀಮಿಯಂ ರಸೀದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಯ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕು.

7. ಈ ಪಾಲಿಸಿಯನ್ನು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಲಿಖಿತ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಬಹುದು.

8. ಪಾಲಿಸಿಯ ಯಾವುದೇ ಷರತ್ತಿನ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಗೆ ಕಂಪನಿಯ ಲಿಖಿತ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಅಗತ್ಯ.

ಪಾಲಿಸಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

ಸ್ಥಳ :

ದಿನಾಂಕ :

ಕಂಪನಿಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಸಹಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

ಕೈಬರಹ
2268

ಸೇವಾಲಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಸಮಿತಿ

30-7-18

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸೇವಾಲಯ ಸಮಿತಿ

ಸಂಚಾಲಕರು ಸೇವಾಲಯ

ಸಂಚಾಲಕರು ಸೇವಾಲಯ ಸಮಿತಿ.

Stamp paper value Rs 5

ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರ

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕಮಲಾಕ್ಷಿ ಗಂಡ ಲೇ. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಪ್ರಾಯ ಸುಮಾರು 45 ವರ್ಷ, ಜಾತಿ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣ, ವೃತ್ತಿ ಮನೆಗೆಲಸ, ವಾಸ ನಂ. 12, ಗಾಂಧಿ ರಸ್ತೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ಯಾರೆಂದರೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಪೂರ್ವ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು, ಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳ ಹೋಬಳಿ, ಕೆಂಪಾಪುರ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 47/2 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 1 ಎಕರೆ 20 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಭಾಗ ಅಂದರೆ 60 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಈ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಕ್ಕೆ ಉಳಿದ ಭಾಗ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 47/2 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಉತ್ತರಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 47/1 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 48 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಪೂರ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 46

"Spare copy"

To,
The Hon'ble Mr. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent
Sri Vijayendra Swami Matt.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕೃಷ್ಣಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಸಾರಾಂಶವೇನೆಂದರೆ:

1. ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಕುರಿತು ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ ತಕರಾರು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

2. ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಕುರಿತು ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದಾವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹೂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕೃಷ್ಣಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಸಾರಾಂಶವೇನೆಂದರೆ:

ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಕುರಿತು ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ ತಕರಾರು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಕುರಿತು ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದಾವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹೂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರವರು
ಮೈಸೂರು ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಏಜೆಂಟ್
ಸರ್ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ ಸರ್ಕಲ್

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಹೊಸ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

೧. ಹೆಸರು, ತಂದೆಯವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು.

೨. ಜಾತಿ, ಉಪಜಾತಿ

೩. ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳು

೪. ಹಿಂದೆ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದರ ವಿವರ

೫. ಈಗ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳ ಮತ್ತು ಇಲಾಖೆ

೬. ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವ ಸ್ಥಳ ಮತ್ತು ಇಲಾಖೆ

೭. ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವ ಹುದ್ದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಬಳ

೮. ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವ ಕಾರಣ

೯. ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ಇರಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವಿರಿ

೧೦. ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ಇರಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ

೧೧. ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ಇರಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ

ಮೇಲೆ ಬರೆದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲವು ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

GOVERNMENT OF
RUPEES
MYSORE

7152

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಿಗೆ

ಮೈಸೂರು

ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಾಗ ಮೃತರಾದ ಅವರ ಗಂಡಂದಿರ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕುಟುಂಬ ಪಿಂಚಣಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಗಂಡಂದಿರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಗಂಡಂದಿರು ಮೃತರಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಗಂಡಂದಿರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಅವಧಿ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಗಂಡಂದಿರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಾಗ ಮೃತರಾದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದ ವಿವರ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಗಂಡಂದಿರ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕುಟುಂಬ ಪಿಂಚಣಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಸಹಿ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಗಂಡಂದಿರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಾಗ ಮೃತರಾದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದ ವಿವರ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತಿದೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಗಂಡಂದಿರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಅವಧಿ ಸುಮಾರು 15 ವರ್ಷಗಳು. ಅವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಾಗ ಮೃತರಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 1906ನೇ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 28. ಅವರು ಮೃತರಾದಾಗ ಅವರ ಸಂಬಳ ರೂ. 100. ಅವರು ಮೃತರಾದಾಗ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 40 ವರ್ಷ ವಯಸ್ಸು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಮೃತರಾದಾಗ ಅವರ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯರಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 30

Sd/-

6 original documents were produced by Mariyachan before the A. Secretary on 24. 6. 1913.

Dms 204 — 1906

The claim in this document has been fully satisfied in Govt. order No. 18735 Dt. 26th January 1914

for Secretary

Dms 204 — 1906

E/2248

30-7-80

claim on this document
been filed in my office on the 26th January 1914

The claim has been fully satisfied

Govt Pro. No. 1873-5 Dt. 26th January 1911

N° 29 dt 4th July 1913.
Imm 204-06

ద లు ల

15 రు ఇ.వ.శ్ర మా త్ర మా త ద

గ్రా మ ము ఖ్య ఉ ద్యో గ శ్ర ద్ధ

పో ది గ్రా మ ం ద రం క ర, శి ల్ప ర్ శ్ర

క్ర ల ఆ మ ల్దా ర ము 1906 శ్ర మ

గ్రా మ ం ద శ్ర వ ర్ష ం ద ర ము ల్లు (మా ది

ఎ మా లా ల్ గ్రా మ ం ద వ మా ల్లా ల్ ర శ్ర

ప ర్త మా న ము ల ను 360 రు లా ం ఆ

శ్ర ళ్ళ న్న. ఎ ల్లా 1860 రు ల ళ్ళ శ్ర

మా క్ష ర ణ ము చే ం ది న్న, శ ళ్ళ వ

ది ఆ గ్రా మ ం ల ం ద ఎ ం ద ర శ్ర

గ్ర వ ర్ష ం ద ప ర్త మా న ము ల ం ద శ్ర

చే ం ది ఆ ం ధ్ర ము ల ను ప ట్ట ది ం చ శ్ర

మా ది ల్ల ం ద శి ల్ప శ్ర వ న్న వా ం ది

శ ర ణ్య మ ం ది ఎ ం ద ర ని ఆ ది శి ం చ శ్ర

వా రి చ ర్య ల ను ప ట్ట ి ం చ ు ం ద ర ని

శ ము ను ఇ ది చే ం ద ని వా ం

శ్ర ళ్ళ ం ది ద ి వ ర ది ం చ్చ ం ది శ్ర

By order,

for Secretary.

(సం.ఆర్డర్)

No 1218 dt 1 11.1913
Form 204-C

శ్రీ మచ్ఛరవాడు మంజీరశ్రీ
ఆ సోదర-బిరుదాంది-శేరాంద
నీలాంబరాలో శ్రీ మ్యాస్తిశాంబరు
స్థిరామినళ్ళవర శ్రీమతదశ్రీ
మద్భక్తవ్యా శ్రీరశ్రీశేశ శ్రీ
ద్రీ శ్రీ శ్రీందంగళ్ళవరశ్రీకు
శ్రీ.

వ్యాస్తిశాంబరు కాశీశ్రీశేశాంచ
చదరల్ వరశ్రీవాస్తు శ్రీశ్రీలోరి
అవరంకాంశ్రీ చి. ఆరవాంబు
ద్రీ చాస్తాంకార్ వరాంతరవ
బ్రాంశ్రీ—

శ్రీ ద్రీక్ష శ్రీ అబుల్ఫారాస
చిన్నగబుబ్రీ వరి అనాశ్రీలోశ్రీ
ఋషరు కాంలోశ్రీ చంవలి వశ్రీ
రీ గ్రామకుళ్ళ కుశ్రీ శ్రీ కాంత్రీ
కాద్రీ బ్రీశ్రీ కాంచిశ్రీ డిచ్చ శేరవ
త్రీ వాశాలకు 1500రూపాం
లుకుళ్ళు శ్రీశాంతశ్రీ శ్రీశాంత
బ్రీ శ్రీ దు లుశాంతశ్రీ
అంచిశ్రీ ఆరదు శ్రీశాంతదన్ని
రశాలాన్ని శ్రీశాంతశ్రీ కాంచిశ్రీ
రశాలశ్రీ శ్రీమశ్రీ లుశాంతశ్రీ
శ్రీకాంద శ్రీశ్రీ శ్రీశ్రీ

204-6

Bigamudre Mariyachar, former lessee of Salesingal inam village in the Tirthahalli Taluk in full satisfaction of his claim against the Mutt

711

File No. 204/06

Serial No. 10

Diary No. of letter replied to 936

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Mugrai)

the 191

Date of issue 26th January 1914

Signed by Hon'ble G. Aravamudachar

READ—

Govt Pro No 2715-7 dated 21st November 1906 passing orders on the lease of the inam village of Salesingal in the Tirthahalli Taluk endowed to Sri Vyasaraya swamie Matt with the observation that the question of the re-payment of the alleged security amount to the former lessee Bigamudré Mariyachar would be dealt with on an examination of the documents to be produced by him in support of his claim.

ORDER THEREON.—No.

SUBJECT.

Petition dated 15th June 1907

and

praying for the repayment of the sum of Rs 1500 deposited by him as security together with the accumulated interest.

Srimukha No 91 dated 14th November 1913 from the Swamigalu approving of the settlement arrived at in the matter of Mariyachari's claim against the Mutt.

Paper [illegible] deposit

91

Order thereon No 1873-5 Misc 204-06 D 26th January 1914

The Sri Vyasarayaswamy Mutt is the owner of certain Vrittees in the Salegingal village of the Tirthahalli Taluk and one Begamma or was the lessee of these vrittees prior to 1906. On the expiry of the lease the Govt in their order of 21st November 1906 ~~[illegible]~~ cited above offered certain fresh terms

GPB—20,000—2 10 11

G Mariachar
terms, which were not-
ed by him
~~acceptable to Mariyachar~~.

2. Begamudré Mariyachar
their claimed the refund of the
security amount of Rs 1500
Matha
advanced by him to the ~~Mutt~~
with interest thereon and
~~he was directed in the above~~
~~order~~ to produce the docu-
ments relied upon by him
in support of his claim.

~~3 The claim of Mariyachar~~
in support of such claim produced
~~is based~~ ~~on~~ two documents,
~~viz~~ one dated Vijaya (1893) ~~by~~
which Rs 1000 was advanced
under by him as lessee
to the Matha and the Vrittis
~~village~~
him
leased for te- years to ~~Mariya-~~
~~char~~ and the other dated
1900 by which a further advance
of Rs 500 was made and the
~~stipulation entered into that~~
~~the~~ lease of these vrittees should
him
Continued ~~under Mariyachar~~
more
for ~~another~~ 5 years and that

on

from the rent due to the Muttha.
These documents appear to be
genuine and the Swamigalu
~~himself~~ has accepted the
liability of the Mutt to pay
the amount in question. ~~He has~~ Mariyachar agrees to forego his claim for interest
~~The~~ Govt are accordingly
pleased to sanction the
repayment ~~to Mariyachar~~ principal
of the ~~principle~~ amount
of Rs 1500 to him. Mariyachar being
willing to forego the interest
due thereon.

4 Mariyachar further
claims the refund of
Rs 360, said to have been
wrongly recovered from him
by the Amildar of Tirthahalli
~~being~~ as the interest ~~on the loan~~ on the sum withheld
~~for three years kept back~~
by him under the agreement
with the Swamigalu and in
support

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

support of this claim he produces a receipt granted to him by the Amildar. Under the terms of the agreement Mariyachar was clearly entitled to deduct from the yearly rent the interest of Rs 120 per annum due upon the loan. The Govt are therefore pleased to order the refund of this amount of Rs 360 ~~received~~ recovered from him by the Amildar under a mistake. The payments will be met from the amount at the credit of the Sri Vyasarayaswamiji Mutt.

14/1/14

8/1/14
for Secy.

To

The Deputy Commr Shemoga &
Comptroller M Govt
Muzrai Supdt in Mysore
Copy to the Swamigalu
a/v Patrika

6/1/14

GPB—12 000—15-2-13

28/1/14

3వర్గం

Sl 11

No. 1876 / Mus 2014-06

dt 26-1-1914

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No 1877 Dt 26/1/14
Mus 2014-06

GPB—12,000—15-2-13

for Secretary